

Research in Plant Biology, 2(3): 31-37, 2012

ISSN : 2231-5101

[www.resplantbiol.com](http://www.resplantbiol.com)

## Short Communication

**Isolation of Somaclonal Variants for Morphological and Biochemical Traits in *Curcuma longa* (Turmeric)**

Roopadarshini, V\* and Gayatri, MC

Plant Biotechnology Unit, Department of Molecular Biology, Bangalore University,  
Bangalore – 560 056E-mail: [roopadarshini.v@gmail.com](mailto:roopadarshini.v@gmail.com)

Five types of somaclonal variants were isolated through callus phase of vegetative bud among the 105 regenerants, based on the morphological traits at the culture conditions. The variants showing higher values of the metric traits than the regenerants and control with regard to morphological parameters in the first generation were selected for further evaluation in the second generation ( $V_2$ ). The variants isolated based on the morphological traits were subjected to biochemical analysis such as curcumin, oleoresin and volatile oil contents and compared with the normal regenerants and the control plant. Significantly high curcumin, oleoresin and volatile oil contents (%) were observed in somaclonal variants when compared to the normal regenerants and also control plant. Somaclonal variation in turmeric is a new prospective for the genetic improvement of turmeric varieties.

**Keywords:** Somaclonal variants, turmeric, vegetative bud, callus

Turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) is one of the most important ancient spices of India and a customary item for export. Turmeric is known as the “Golden Spice” as well as the “Spice of Life”. It has been used in India as a medicinal plant, and held sacred from time immemorial (Duke, 2007) and is reported to be a therapeutic agent for several major human diseases (HungHsu and Lii Cheng, 2007). The primary biological active constituent of turmeric is the curcumin, a polyphenol that has potent anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant properties (Singletary, 2010).

Heritable genetic variation found in plants regenerated from any type of *in vitro* culture is termed somaclonal variation (Larkin and Scowcroft, 1981). In most cases,

*in vitro* differentiation is a major cause of genetic variation (Swartz, 1991). Only random variations found in regenerated plants that are transmitted to the progeny through meiosis and are not reversible can be called as somaclonal variation (De Klerk, 1990). Such variation in callus regenerated plants has been documented in many plant species for a wide array of characters (Larkin and Scowcroft, 1981; Reisch, 1983; Vasil, 1986; Bajaj, 1990 and Karp, 1995).

Somaclonal variations offer a new source of genetic variability which can be exploited effectively in breeding programs designed to select the desirable characters in the improvement of economically important plants. In turmeric, natural genetic variation

is less due to vegetative propagation and lack of sexual cycle. Hence, the present investigation aims at the isolation of high yielding somaclonal variants through callus phase in turmeric variety Suguna.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Turmeric variety Suguna, a genetically identical clone was used as a source material. The non-embryogenic vegetative propagule vegetative bud explants were sterilized and inoculated on Linsmaier and Skoog's Basal Medium (LSBM) supplemented with 2,4-D ( $3 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ) for induction of callus. The actively growing mass of callus was subcultured on fresh medium. The callus was cut into  $0.5 \text{ cm}^2$  pieces and cultured on LSBM fortified with BAP ( $3.5 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ) for differentiation and regeneration. The cultures were maintained at a temperature of  $25 \pm 2^\circ \text{C}$  with white fluorescent light at a photon density of  $30\text{-}50 \mu\text{Em}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  under a photoperiodic regime of 16 hours light and 8 hours dark cycles.

### Isolation of somaclonal variants:

The *in vitro* raised plants were screened at culture conditions to isolate somaclones from the regenerants based on the morphological variations and were named as 'Somaclonal Variants'. The variants and the normal regenerants were hardened using a potting mixture consisting of peat: perlite: vermiculate 1:1:1 (v/v) and maintained in hardening chamber under controlled conditions. The hardened and acclimatized plants were successfully transferred to field and their survival frequency was recorded.

### Evaluation of somaclones based on morphological and biochemical traits:

The somaclones isolated were hardened and transferred to the field to study their morphological traits (plant height,

number of tillers per clump, number of leaves per clump, leaf size, yield of rhizomes per clump and dry recovery) as  $V_1$  generation (first generation following the *in vitro* phase) and compared with the normal regenerants and control (variety Suguna). The somaclones showing higher values of the metric traits than the regenerants and control with regard to morphological characters in the first generation ( $V_1$ ) were selected for further evaluation in the second generation ( $V_2$ ) through conventional vegetative multiplication. The somaclones and regenerants of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  generations were analyzed for morphological traits and biochemical attributes like curcumin (ASTA, 1958) oleoresin (EOA, 1967) and volatile oil (ASTA, 1968) contents and compared with the control plant Suguna.

### Statistical analysis:

The data obtained in the present study were analyzed statistically by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine the variation between the treatments and Least Significant Difference (LSD) between any of the two means at  $p = 0.05$  (the level of probability chosen for the  $t$  value) was determined.

## RESULTS

Five different types of variants such as 'Narrow elongated leaf with thick short pseudostem' (SC1), 'Broad elongated leaf with very short pseudostem' (SC2), 'Broad elongated leaf with thick short pseudostem' (SC3), 'Broad short leaf with very short pseudostem' (SC4) and 'Broad short leaf with normal pseudostem' (SC5) were isolated through callus phase of vegetative bud among the 105 regenerants based on the morphological characters at the culture conditions. These variants were hardened and transferred to the field with 94 % survival frequency.

Somaclones isolated based on the morphological parameters ( $V_1$  -  $V_2$  generations) were subjected to biochemical analysis such as curcumin, oleoresin and volatile oil contents and compared with the normal regenerants and the control plant. The somaclone (SC1) was found to be superior with regard to plant height (110.42 cm) and rhizome yield (538.87 g) (Table 1). Further, it was found to be superior with regard to

biochemical traits, with high curcumin (5.48%), oleoresin (15.23%) and volatile oil (7.16%) contents (Table 2). The somaclone "Narrow elongated leaf with thick short pseudostem" (SC1) was found to be superior when compared to other somaclones, normal regenerants and the control plant. It was observed that there exist highly significant differences with regard to morphological and biochemical traits among the somaclones.

**Table 1. *Curcuma longa* L. variety Suguna : Morphological traits of variants, regenerants and control**

Group	Type of Plants	Plant height (cm) M $\pm$ SD	No. of tillers/ clump M $\pm$ SD	No. of leaves/clu mp M $\pm$ SD	Leaf length (cm) M $\pm$ SD	Leaf breadth (cm) M $\pm$ SD	Yield of rhizomes / clump (g) M $\pm$ SD	Dry recovery (Mother + Pri. + Sec. Rhizomes) M $\pm$ SD
Control Suguna	Normal plant	106.98 $\pm$ 0.16	1.91 $\pm$ 0.21	12.33 $\pm$ 0.14	45.89 $\pm$ 0.12	12.33 $\pm$ 0.09	528.89 $\pm$ 0.19	20.43 $\pm$ 0.09
Indirect Regeneration of Vegetative bud	Regenerants	107.16 $\pm$ 0.15	1.96 $\pm$ 0.13	12.41 $\pm$ 0.22	46.17 $\pm$ 0.16	12.41 $\pm$ 0.18	529.08 $\pm$ 0.07	20.81 $\pm$ 0.01
Somaclonal Variants	SC1	110.42 $\pm$ 0.44	2.94 $\pm$ 0.03	15.40 $\pm$ 0.03	48.77 $\pm$ 0.05	14.64 $\pm$ 0.04	538.87 $\pm$ 0.66	22.32 $\pm$ 0.04
	SC2	109.14 $\pm$ 0.15	2.54 $\pm$ 0.02	13.61 $\pm$ 0.03	47.19 $\pm$ 0.03	14.07 $\pm$ 0.07	534.67 $\pm$ 0.26	21.89 $\pm$ 0.04
	SC3	110.12 $\pm$ 0.38	2.90 $\pm$ 0.03	14.47 $\pm$ 0.04	48.09 $\pm$ 0.06	14.62 $\pm$ 0.03	538.78 $\pm$ 0.24	22.16 $\pm$ 0.06
	SC4	109.66 $\pm$ 0.25	2.55 $\pm$ 0.03	15.19 $\pm$ 0.01	46.86 $\pm$ 0.06	14.47 $\pm$ 0.05	535.65 $\pm$ 0.75	20.98 $\pm$ 0.03
	SC5	109.76 $\pm$ 0.18	2.43 $\pm$ 0.01	14.76 $\pm$ 0.03	48.16 $\pm$ 0.06	14.57 $\pm$ 0.05	537.82 $\pm$ 0.02	21.91 $\pm$ 0.08
	5% LSD	0.41	0.06	0.03	0.07	0.06	1.54	0.07

M- Mean of 10 replications; SD - Standard Deviation; LSD - Least Significant Difference

**Table 2 *Curcuma longa* L. variety Suguna : Biochemical traits of variants, regenerants and control**

Group	Type of Plants	Curcumin (%) M $\pm$ SD	Oleoresin (%) M $\pm$ SD	Volatile oil (%) M $\pm$ SD
Control Suguna	Normal plant	4.92 $\pm$ 0.17	13.51 $\pm$ 0.13	6.08 $\pm$ 0.14
Indirect Regeneration of Vegetative bud	Regenerants	5.03 $\pm$ 0.18	13.61 $\pm$ 0.17	6.13 $\pm$ 0.19
Somaclonal Variants	SC1	5.48 $\pm$ 0.02	15.23 $\pm$ 0.02	7.16 $\pm$ 0.03
	SC2	5.44 $\pm$ 0.03	15.18 $\pm$ 0.02	7.13 $\pm$ 0.05
	SC3	5.37 $\pm$ 0.02	15.16 $\pm$ 0.03	6.68 $\pm$ 0.05
	SC4	5.13 $\pm$ 0.03	14.32 $\pm$ 0.05	6.85 $\pm$ 0.03
	SC5	5.11 $\pm$ 0.03	15.11 $\pm$ 0.06	7.02 $\pm$ 0.03
	5% LSD	0.03	0.05	0.04

M - Mean of 10 replications ; SD - Standard Deviation; LSD - Least Significant Difference

## DISCUSSION

In the present investigation it was possible to isolate somaclonal variants through callus phase of vegetative bud based on the morphological and biochemical traits in turmeric. The origin of somaclonal variation may be due to periodic subculturing of callus over an extended period of time, which undergo morphological and genetic changes such as polyploidy, aneuploidy, chromosomal aberrations, point mutation, alteration of methylation patterns or DNA amounts, selective sequence amplification or deamplification, tissue culture induced transposition activity, modification of organellar genome, transposable elements, genetic status of the donor plant, age of the explant, nutrient media, phytohormones, other extrinsic culture conditions as suggested by Nagl (1972), Cullis (1983), Day and Ellis (1984), D' Amato (1985), Ball and Seilleur (1986), Brettel *et al.* (1986), Bajaj (1990), Kaeppler *et al.* (2000), Jain (2001) and Anjanasree *et al.* (2012).

The plants showing higher values of the metric traits than the parental type with regard to morphological characters (such as plant height, number of tillers per clump, number of leaves per clump, leaf size, yield of rhizomes per clump and dry recovery) in the first generation ( $V_1$ ) were selected for further evaluation in the second generation ( $V_2$ ). In the present study, five somaclones isolated based on the morphological parameters from indirect regeneration of *in vivo* vegetative bud ( $V_1$  -  $V_2$  generations) were subjected to biochemical analysis such as curcumin, oleoresin and volatile oil contents and compared with the normal regenerants and the control plant. Similar results have been reported by Mathur *et al.* (1989) in aromatic crops and Ravindra *et al.* (2004) in rose-scented geranium. Further, the present findings coincides with the reports of Bajaj (1986) and Bajaj *et al.* (1986) who have

observed range of morphological variations in Cereals and Grasses.

'Somaclone' refers to the individual variant regenerated *in vitro*. Somaclonal variation is an expression of plant cell culture system that involves a stage of disorganized cell growth or adventitious meristems. Genetic variations occur in undifferentiated cells, isolated protoplasts, calli and tissue, which are manifested as morphological traits of regenerated plants (Batra, 2001). Novel variants have been reported among somaclones. Somaclonal lines may be more variable than breeder lines for most agronomic yield components and quality characters (Hanson *et al.*, 1994).

Further, callus-derived somaclonal variation based on morphological and biochemical parameters have been reported by Popescu *et al.*, (1997) in strawberry, Pajević *et al.* (2004) in sunflower, Anu *et al.* (2004) in *Capsicum annuum*, Jibu *et al.* (2006) in tea, Shen *et al.* (2007) in *Dieffenbachia*, Rajeswari *et al.* (2009) in Sugarcane, Shah *et al.* (2009) in wheat, Park *et al.* (2010) in Rice, Thepsithar *et al.* (2010) in *Caladium*, Li & Bruneau (2010) in St. Augustinegrass, Yari and Farahani (2011) in Olive, Yari *et al.* (2011) in Olive and Winarto *et al.* (2011) in *Anthurium*.

'Somaclonal Variation' refers to the variations observed among plantlets regenerated through callus culture (Larkin and Scowcraft, 1981). Isolation of somaclonal variation through callus phase is a potential tool for the geneticists and breeders, which permit development of new varieties with genetic variation (Maddock *et al.*, 1985, Seeta *et al.*, 2000 and Bairu *et al.*, 2011).

A repeatable protocol for isolation of somaclones was developed through callus phase of vegetative bud of turmeric variety Suguna (*Curcuma longa* L.). Somaclonal variation has a great potential for new

varieties with specific characters for plant breeding and commercialization.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks are due to Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, Ministry of Science and Technology, New Delhi for the financial assistance provided to carry out this work.

## REFERENCES

- Anjanasree K, Neelakandan, Kan Wang. 2012. Recent progress in the understanding of tissue culture-induced genome level changes in plants and potential applications. *Plant Cell Rep.* 31: 597-620
- Anu A, Babu KN, Peter KV. 2004. Variations among somaclones and its seedling progeny in *Capsicum annuum*. *Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult.* 76 (3): 261-267.
- ASTA 1958. Official Analytical Methods. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edn., ASTA, New York.
- ASTA 1968. Official Analytical Methods of the ASTA. INC, N.Y. 07632 2<sup>nd</sup> Edn., New York, pp: 53.
- Bajaj YPS. 1986. *In vitro* regeneration of diverse plants and the cryopreservation of germplasm in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Cereal Res. Commun.* 14: 305-311.
- Bajaj YPS. 1990. Somaclonal variation - origin, induction, cryopreservation and implications in plant breeding. In *Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry*. Vol. 11. Somaclonal Variation in Crop Improvement -I, Edited by YPS. Bajaj, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 3-48.
- Bajaj YPS, Gill MS, Mohapatra D. 1986. Somaclonal and gametoclonal variation in wheat, cotton and brassica. In *Somaclonal variations and crop improvement*. Edited by J. Semal, Nijhoff, Dordrecht, pp: 160-169.
- Bairu MW, Adeyami OA, Johannes VS. 2011. Somaclonal variation in plants: causes and detection methods. *Plant Growth Reg.* 63 (2): 147-173.
- Ball SB, Seilleur P, 1986. Characterization of somaclonal variations in potato: a biochemical approach. In *Somaclonal variations and crop improvement*, Edited by J. Semal, Nijhoff, Dordrecht, pp. 229-235.
- Batra A, 2001. Somaclonal variation. In *Fundamentals of Plant Biotechnology*. Capital Publishing Co., New Delhi, pp. 96-103.
- Brettell RIS, Dennis ES, Scowcroft WR, Peacock JW, 1986. Molecular analysis of somaclonal mutant of maize alcohol dehydrogenase. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 202: 235-239.
- Cullis CA, 1983. Environmentally induced DNA changes in plants. *CRC Critical Rev in Plant Science.* 1: 117-129.
- D'Amato F, 1985. Cytogenetics of plant cell and tissue cultures and their regeneration. *CRC Critical Rev in Plant Science.* 3: 73-112.
- Day A, Ellis THN, 1984. Chloroplast DNA deletions associated with wheat plants regenerated pollen: possible basis for material inheritance of chloroplast. *Cell.* 39: 359-368.
- De Klerk GJ, 1990. How to measure somaclonal variation. *Acta Bot. Neerl.* 39: 129-144
- Duke J, 2007. Turmeric—The Genus *Curcuma*. *Medicinal and Aromatic Plants—Industrial Profiles Vol.45.* *Eco. Bot.* 61(4): 397-398.
- EOA. 1967. Specification for oleoresin turmeric. EOA. No. 271. Essential Oil Association of America, New York.
- Hanson K, Juel P, Banker PJ, 1994. Comparative field performance of tissue culture derived lines and breeder lines of

- HY 320. spring wheat. Plant Breed. 112 (3): 183-191.
- HungHsu C, LiiCheng A, 2007. The molecular targets and therapeutic uses of curcumin in health and disease. In Clinical studies with curcumin, Edited by B.B.Aggarwal, Y. Joonsurh, and S. Shishodia. Springer, US, pp. 471-480.
- Jain SM, 2001. Tissue culture-derived variation in crop improvement. Euphytica. 118: 153-166.
- Jibu T, Raj RK, Mandal AKA. 2006. Metabolite profiling and characterization of somaclonal variants in tea (*Camellia* spp.) for identifying productive and quality accession. Phytochem. 67(11): 1136-1142.
- Kaepller SM, Kaepller HF, Rhee Y. 2000. Epigenetic aspects of somaclonal variation in plants. Plant Mol. Biol. 43: 179-188.
- Karp A. 1995. Somaclonal variation as a tool for crop improvement. Euphytica 85: 295-302.
- Larkin PJ, Scowcroft WR. 1981. Somaclonal variation – a novel source of variability from cell cultures for plant improvement. Theor. Appl. Genet. 60: 197-214.
- Li R, Bruneau AH. 2010. Tissue culture-induced morphological somaclonal variation in St. Augustinegrass [*Stenotaphrum secundatum* (Walt.) Kuntze]. Plant Breed. 129 (1): 96-99.
- Maddock SE, Risiott R, Parmar S, Jones MGK, Shewry PR. 1985. Somaclonal variation in the gliadin patterns of greens of regenerated wheat plants. J. Exp. Bot. 37: 1976-1984.
- Mathur AK, Ahuja PS, Pandey B, Khureja AK. 1989. Potential of somaclonal variation in genetic improvement of aromatic grasses. In Tissue Culture and Biotechnology of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants. Edited by A.K. Khureja, P.S. Ahuja, and P.S. Thakur. pp. 79-89.
- Nagl W. 1972. Evidence of DNA amplification in the orchid *Cymbidium in vitro*. Cytol. 5: 145-154.
- Pajević S, Vasić D, Sekulić P. 2004. Biochemical characteristics and nutrient content of the callus of sunflower inbred lines. Helia. 27(41): 143-150.
- Park YH, Kim TH, SukLee H, MinKim K, Sohn JK. 2010. Morphological and Progeny Variations in Somaclonal Mutants of 'Ilpum' (*Oryza sativa* L.). Korean J. Breed. 42 (4): 413-418.
- Popescu AN, Isac VS, Coman MS, Radulescu MS. 1997. Somaclonal variation in plants regenerated by organogenesis from callus culture of Strawberry (*Fragaria x Ananassa*). ISHS Acta Horticulturae, III International Strawberry Symposium, 439.
- Rajeswari S, Krishnamurthi M, Shinisekar, Prem SA, Thirugnana SK. 2009. Performance of somaclones developed from intergeneric hybrids of sugarcane. Sugar Tech. 11(3): 258-261.
- Ravindra NS, Kulkarni RN, Gayatri MC, Ramesh S. 2004. Somaclonal variation for some morphological traits, herb yield, essential oil content and essential composition in an India cultivar of rose-scented geranium. Plant Breed. 123: 1-5.
- Reisch B. 1983. Genetic variability in regenerated plants. In: Evans DA, Sharp WR, Ammirato PV & Yamada Y (eds) Handbook of Plant Tissue Culture Vol. 1. Techniques for Propagation and Breeding. MacMillan Publishing Company, New York, pp. 743-769.
- Seeta P, Talat K, Anwar SY. 2000. Somaclonal variation – an alternative source of genetic variability in safflower. J. Cytol. Genet. 1 (NS): 127-135.
- Shah MM, Khalid Q, Khan UW, Shah SAH, Shah SH, Hassan A, Pervez A. 2009. Variation in genotypic responses and biochemical analysis of callus induction

- in cultivated wheat. Genet. Mol. Res. 8 (3): 783-793.
- Shen X, Chen J, Kane M, Henny RJ. 2007. Assessment of somaclonal variation in *Dieffenbachia* plants regenerated through indirect shoot organogenesis. Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult. 91(1): 21-27.
- Singletary K. 2010. Turmeric : An overview of potential health benefits, Nutrition Today. 45(5): 216-225.
- Swartz HJ. 1991. Post culture behaviour: genetic and epigenetic effects and related problems. In: P.C.Debergh and R.H. Zimmerman (eds) Micropropagation. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 95-121.
- Thepsithar C, Chiensil P, Thongpukdee A. 2010. Micropropagation of *Caladium bicolor* (Ait.) vent. 'Thep Songsil' and incidence of somaclonal variants. Acta Hort., 855: 273-279.
- Vasil IK. 1986. Cell Culture and Somatic Cell Genetics of Plants. In: Plant Regeneration and Genetic Variability. Academic Press, Inc. New York.
- Winarto W, Rachmawati F, Pramanik D, Teixeira da Silva JA. 2011. Morphological and cytological diversity of regenerants derived from half-anther cultures of anthurium. Plant Cell Tiss Organ Cult. 105: 363-374.
- Yari R, Farahani F. 2011. Study of morphological traits changes in prolonged vegetative reproduction of three olive tree cultivars domesticated (Zard, Roughani and X) in Iran. African J. Agri. Res. 6 (29): 6320-6325.
- Yari R, Farahani F, Sheidai M, Kouhsarri SM, Fahimi H. 2011. The effects of prolonged vegetative reproduction of the two Iranian olive cv. tree cultivars (Dezful Baghmalek and Dezful Safiabab) on morphological traits. African J. Biotech. 10 (45): 9076-9081.